## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-------------------|----------------|--|
| 10/576,332        | BIERNAT ET AL. |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit       |  |
| JESSICA T. STULTZ | 2873           |  |

|   | JESSICA I. STOLIZ  | 2073  |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add  | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE TH  | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>real (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, v<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; o           | which places the r (3) a Request          |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir   | g date of the final rejection.   |   |   |
| b) Mathematical The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07  | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).   | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FI       | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                     |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ktension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropri-<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com   | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two month                                    | s of the date of                          |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS  |  |   | e appeal. Since a                         |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief,   | will not be entered be                                    | cause                                     |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | •  | ΓE below);  |   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld)  | •  |   |   |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be  | itter form for appeal by materially rec  | ducing or simplifying t                                   | ne issues for                             |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally reig   | acted claims  |   |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))   |  | oted claims.  |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  |  | mpliant Amendment (                                       | PTOI -324)                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   |  | mphane, anonamone (                                       |   |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a  |  | timely filed amendmer                                     | nt canceling the                          |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | ,  |   |   |
| 7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | l be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |   |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fail                                  | s to provide a                            |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | on of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach                                   | ed.                                       |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |   |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be  | ut does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                               |
| <ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |
|   | /Jessica T Stultz/   |   |   |
|   | Primary Examiner, Art U  | Init 2873   |   |
|   | a. j Examinor, ruc o   |   |   |
|   |  |   |   |

Continuation of 13. Other: For applicant's information, the amendment to claims 7-8, filed November 10, 2008, overcome the previous objections to these claims.

Although the amendments to the claims do not require a new search, the final rejection still stands for the reasons stated in the following paragraphs.

Applicant's arguments filed November 10, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, regarding independent claim 5, applicant argues that the contact lens "1" of Glynn et al '554 contacts the sclera. However, as stated in the Final office action mailed September 10, 2008, Glynn et al '554 discloses that the front lens is lens (28/32), with associated fibers (10), which do not come in contact with the eye (See Figures 8-9). Additionally, applicant argues that the fibers do not project light on the sclera of the eye to transilluminate the sclera. However, the examiner disagrees since Glynn et al '554 discloses that the ends of the fibers are formed in such a way that the exiting light is projected on the sclera of an eye to be examined and transilluminates the sclera (Column 8, line 48-Column 9, line 23, Shown in Figures 8-9)..